

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/684,171	10/10/2003	Richard J. Ericson	OT-4416A	2595	
26584 7	590 05/09/2006		EXAMINER		
OTIS ELEVA	TOR COMPANY	LANGDON, EVAN H			
	AL PROPERTY DEPA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
10 FARM SPRINGS FARMINGTON, CT 06032			3654	TATERNOMBER	
PARMINGTO	14, C1 00052		3034		
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/684,171	ERICSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Evan H. Langdon	3654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN 100.07(f).	iling date of the final rejecti THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amous shortened statutory period for reply of than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The appropr originally set in the final Offi date of the final rejection, o	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exterministry and the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see I	NOTE below);				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	<u> </u>					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 22-26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	will not be entered, or b) Livided below or appended.	will be entered and an o	ехріапацоп от			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affi	davit or other evidence i	s necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap y and was not earlier presented	opeal and/or appellant fa .See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
The request for reconsideration has been considered by	at does 1101 place the applicant	THE CONGRESSION FOR Allowed	mee beedade.			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:						
	2 D. My	JOHN Q. NO PRIMARY EX				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation re. "a clamp clamping the tension member" and the newly added claim 27, are examples of a new issue requiring further consideration and/or search .